Accurate modeling and reconstruction of three-dimensional percolating filamentary microstructures from two-dimensional micrographs via dilation-erosion method
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ABSTRACT

Heterogeneous materials are ubiquitous in nature and synthetic situations and have a wide range of important engineering applications. Accurate modeling and reconstructing three-dimensional (3D) microstructure of topologically complex materials from limited morphological information such as a two-dimensional (2D) micrograph is crucial to the assessment and prediction of effective material properties and performance under extreme conditions. Here, we extend a recently developed dilation–erosion method and employ the Yeong–Torquato stochastic reconstruction procedure to model and generate 3D austenitic–ferritic cast duplex stainless steel microstructure containing percolating filamentary ferrite phase from 2D optical micrographs of the material sample. Specifically, the ferrite phase is dilated to produce a modified target 2D microstructure and the resulting 3D reconstruction is eroded to recover the percolating ferrite filaments. The dilation–erosion reconstruction is compared with the actual 3D microstructure, obtained from serial sectioning (polishing), as well as the standard stochastic reconstructions incorporating topological connectedness information. The fact that the former can achieve the same level of accuracy as the latter suggests that the dilation–erosion procedure is tantamount to incorporating appreciably more topological and geometrical information into the reconstruction while being much more computationally efficient.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Heterogeneous materials such as composites, alloys, granular materials and porous media abound in nature and in engineering applications. Applications of heterogeneous materials in civil, industrial and aerospace engineering require accurate assessments and predictions of the effective material properties and their performance under extreme conditions, which in turn rely on the accurate knowledge of the complex material microstructures. In the past few decades, a quantitative under-
standing of the microstructure and structure–property relation of heterogeneous materials has begun to emerge, mainly due to the development of advanced experimental and computational material microstructure characterization techniques [1–3]. Specifically, advanced imaging techniques such as X-ray tomographic microscopy [4–6], which eliminates destructive cross-sectioning and allows for superior resolution and image quality with minimal sample preparation [7,8], have been widely used to obtain high-resolution three-dimensional (3D) microstructure for a wide range of heterogeneous materials, including Sn-rich alloys [9], powder metallurgy steels [10], metal matrix composites [11–15], and lightweight alloys [16–20]. On the theory side, a zoology of statistical microstructure descriptors has been presented and derived from rigorous structure–property analysis [1,2]. For example, the canonical \(n\)-point correlation function \(H_n\) [2], the integrals of which are involved in various rigorous bounds [21] and contrast expansions [22,23] of effective material properties, gives the probability of finding a specific \(n\)-point configuration in the phase of interest. A variety of non-canonical descriptors providing topological connectedness information [24–26] and interface information [27] have also been devised and applied to study a wide spectrum of materials.

Despite of rapid advances of non-destructive 3D imaging techniques, there are still material systems for which only 2D images are available. For example, in certain alloys the contrast between the absorption rates of probing rays associated with different phases is too weak to resolve the individual phases. In such situations, usually the only available structural data obtained from non-destructive means are 2D electron micrographs or optical images of the sample surfaces, which does not contain topological connectedness information of the material phases [2]. Although serial sectioning can be employed to obtain full 3D microstructure, this procedure is very tedious and will completely destroy the material sample. Therefore, it is highly desirable to render realistic virtual 3D microstructures that faithfully present the limited morphological information contained in the available 2D data sets, albeit with 3D experimental verification.

Over the past two decades, a variety of microstructure reconstruction methods from limited structural information have been developed, including the Gaussian random field method [28], stochastic reconstruction procedure [29,30], phase recovery method [31], multi-point reconstruction method [32], and raster-path method [33]. The Gaussian random field method [28] was originally devised to reconstruct realizations of statistically homogeneous and isotropic random media from the associated two-point correlation functions. Specifically, a field–field correlation function is constructed based on the given two-point correlation function \(S_2\) (see definition in Section 2). A Gaussian random field is then generated using the field–field correlation function, whose level-cut results in a binary microstructure associated the target two-point function. Although a wide class of microstructures can be obtained using this method, the morphological information used for reconstruction is limited to the two-point correlation functions [28]. The phase recovery method enables one to take into account the full vector information contained in the two-point statistics associated with the material, and thus allows the reconstructions of complex anisotropic microstructure and polycrystalline materials [31]. This method proceeds by iteratively solving for the phase information that is lost when representing the microstructure using the vector two-point correlation functions. Once such phase information is successfully recovered, the microstructure can be directly obtained via fast Fourier transform.

The multi-point reconstruction method was originally developed for the reconstruction of porous geomaterials from 2D images [32]. Instead of using two-point statistics associated with the entire 2D microstructure, this method incorporates all \(n\)-point statistics within a smaller window containing a portion of the microstructure. The window size is determined by the correlation length of the material. A sequential addition method is then employed to put down voxels in an initially empty reconstruction domain based on the \(n\)-point statistics to generate a 3D microstructure. Although more accurate reconstructions can be obtained due to the additional morphological information contained in the multi-point statistics, it is difficult to efficiently store, represent and retrieve the \(n\)-point statistics even for a very small window. Recently, a raster-path method is devised which allows one to employ the multi-point statistics in a much more efficient way [33]. Specifically, instead of extracting the statistics from the 2D microstructure, a cross-correlation function is introduced to directly compare a reconstructed portion of the material to the target 2D image.

The stochastic reconstruction procedure [29,30] (also referred to as Yeong–Torquato procedure in literature) enables one to incorporate an arbitrary number of correlation functions of any types into the reconstructions. Specifically, a random initial microstructure is evolved using simulated annealing procedure such that the set of correlation functions sampled from the reconstructed microstructure match the corresponding set of target statistics up to a prescribed small tolerance (see Section 3 for algorithmic details). This reconstruction procedure is very flexible and versatile, however, due to its stochastic nature a large number of intermediate microstructures need to be generated and analyzed, which makes it computationally intensive. Several different implementations of the \(Y\)–\(T\) procedure have been devised to improve efficiency [34–36], preserve isotropy [37–39] or handle anisotropic materials [40]. In particular, a dilation–erosion (DE) method based on the \(Y\)–\(T\) procedure has recently been proposed by Zachary and Torquato to improve the accuracy of the reconstructions of topologically complex microstructures [41]. The DE method (discussed in detail in Section 3) has been applied to successfully reconstruct 2D model microstructures including multiply connected “donut” media and random distributions of micro-cracks [41].

In this paper, we will extend the dilation–erosion procedure devised by Zachary and Torquato [41] for 2D random textures to accurately model and reconstruct 3D filamentary microstructures from limited morphological information (e.g., certain spatial correlation functions associated with the filamentary phase) available in 2D images of the material. We will focus on austenitic-ferritic cast duplex stainless steels for which the 2D optical micrographs of the sample surfaces (see Fig. 1) will be used as the input for the reconstruction. As shown in Fig. 1, the filamentary structures correspond to the ferrite phase which percolates in three dimensions. Such percolating filamentary
characterize the filamentary microstructures. In Section 3, we describe the stochastic reconstruction procedure and the dilation–erosion method in detail. In Section 4, we present and analyze the reconstruction results. In Section 5, we make concluding remarks.

2. Statistical Microstructure Descriptors

2.1. Two-Point Correlation Function

In general, the microstructure of a heterogeneous material can be uniquely determined by specifying the indicator functions associated with all of the individual phases of the material [2], i.e.,

\[ f_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in phase } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]  

(1)

where \( i = 1, \ldots, q \) and \( q \) is the total number of phases. The volume fraction of phase \( i \) is then given by

\[ \varphi_i = < f_i(x) > \]  

(2)

where <> denotes the ensemble average over many independent material samples or volume average over a single large sample if it is spatially "ergodic" [2]. The two-point correlation function \( S_{ij}(x_1,x_2) \) associated with phases \( i \) and \( j \) is defined as

\[ S_{ij}(x_1,x_2) = < f_i(x_1)f_j(x_2) > \]  

(3)

which also gives the probability that two randomly selected points \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) fall into phase \( i \) and \( j \) respectively (see Fig. 2A). For a material with \( q \) distinct phases, there are totally \( q^2 \) different \( S_{ij} \). However, it has been shown that only \( q - 1 \) of them are independent [2,31] and the remaining \( q(q - 1) + 1 \) functions can be explicitly expressed in terms of the \( q \) independent ones. In our case, \( q = 2 \) (filamentary structures in a matrix) and thus, we can only consider the two-point correlation function associated with the filamentary structures. In the ensuing discussion, we will focus the correlation function for the phase of interest and drop the superscript in the function for convenience.

For a statistically homogeneous and isotropic material such as the stainless steel considered here, there are no preferred center and direction in the microstructure. Therefore, the associated \( S_2 \) for the phase of interest depends only on the relative distance between the two points [2], i.e.,

\[ S_2(x_1,x_2) = S_2(|x_2 - x_1|) = S_2(r) \]  

(4)

where \( r = |r| = |x_2 - x_1| \). At \( r = 0 \) the quantity \( S_2(0) \) gives the probability that a randomly selected point falls into the phase of interest, i.e., the volume fraction of the associated phase \( S_2(r = 0) = \varphi \). At large \( r \) values, the probabilities of finding the two points in the phases of interest are independent of one another, leading to \( S_2(r \to \infty) = \varphi^2 \). Importantly, it has been shown that for statistically homogeneous and isotropic microstructures, the associated \( S_2 \) can be obtained from the low-dimensional cuts of the microstructure (e.g., 2D slices of a 3D material) [2]. In other words, the \( S_2 \) of 2D slices contains morphological information that is representative of the original structures. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we provide definitions of the statistical descriptors used to
Fig. 2 – Schematic illustrations of the events contributing to different correlation functions. The two-point correlation function \( S_2 \) gives the probably of finding two points in the phases of interest. In (A), we show events that contribute to \( S_2 \) associated with the blue phase. The lineal-path function \( L(r) \) gives the probability that a randomly chosen line segment of length \( r \) entirely falls into the phase of interest. In (B), we show events that contribute to \( L \) associated with the blue phase. The two-point cluster function \( C_2(r) \) gives the probability of finding two points separated by \( r \) in the same cluster of the phase of interest. In (C), we show events that contribute to \( C_2 \) associated with the blue phase.

3D microstructure. This makes it a very useful quantity for reconstructing 3D microstructures from 2D images.

We note that the general n-point correlation function \( S_n \) which gives the probability of finding a particular n-point configuration in specific phases can be defined in a similar manner as \( S_2 \) [c.f. Eq. (2)], i.e.,

\[
S_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \langle l(x_1)l(x_2) \cdots l(x_n) \rangle
\]  
(5)

It has been shown that the effective properties of a heterogeneous material can be explicitly expressed as series expansions involving certain integrals of \( S_n \). Interested readers are referred to Ref. [2] for detailed discussions of \( S_n \) and their properties.

2.2. Lineal-Path Function

The lineal-path function \( L^0(r) \) gives probability that a randomly selected line segment of length \( r = |r| \) along the direction of vector \( r \) entirely falls into phase \( i \) (see Fig. 2B) [2,24]. At \( r = 0, L^0(0) \) reduces to the probability of finding a point in phase \( i \) and thus, \( L^0(0) = \phi_i \).

In materials that do not contain system-spanning clusters, the two-point cluster correlation function \( C_2(r) \) gives the probability that two randomly selected points \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) fall into the same cluster of phase \( i \) (see Fig. 2C) [2,26]. For statistically homogeneous and isotropic materials, \( C_2 \) for the phase of interest depends only on the relative distance between the two points, i.e., \( C_2(x_1, x_2) = C_2(|x_1 - x_2|) = C_2(r) \). In contrast to the lineal-path function, \( C_2 \) contains complete clustering information of the phases, which has been shown to have dramatic effects on the material’s physical properties [2]. Moreover, unlike \( S_2 \) and \( L \), the cluster functions generally cannot be obtained from lower-dimensional cuts (e.g., 2D slices) of a 3D microstructure, which may not contain correct connectedness information of the actual 3D system.

It has been shown that \( C_2 \) is related to \( S_2 \) via the following equation [26]

\[
S_2(r) = C_2(r) + D_2(r)
\]  
(6)

where \( D_2(r) \) measures the probability that two points separated by \( r \) fall into different clusters of the phase of interest. In other words, \( C_2 \) is the connectedness contribution to the standard two-point correlation function \( S_2 \). For microstructures with well-defined inclusion, \( C_2 \) of the inclusions is a short-ranged function that rapidly decays to zero as \( r \) approaches the largest linear size of the inclusions. We note that although \( C_2 \) is a “two-point” quantity, it has been shown to embody higher-order structural information which makes it a highly sensitive statistical descriptor over and above \( S_2 \) [36,41].

3. Stochastic Reconstruction Procedures

3.1. Yeong–Torquato Reconstruction Procedure

We use the Yeong–Torquato (YT) reconstruction procedure [29,30] to generate virtual 3D microstructures from a specific set of correlation functions discussed in the previous Section 2. As discussed in Section 1, there are many other different microstructure reconstruction procedures, such as the Gaussian random field method [28], phase recovery method [31], multi-point reconstruction method [32] and the recently developed raster path method [33]. Importantly, the YT procedure is the most flexible and versatile one that enables us to incorporate an arbitrary number of correlation functions of any type.

In the YT procedure, the reconstruction problem is formulated as an “energy” minimization problem, with the energy functional \( E \) defined as follows

\[
E = \sum_a \sum_r \left[ f^a(r) - \bar{f}^a(r) \right]^2
\]  
(7)
where \( f^{\alpha}(r) \) is a target correlation function of type \( \alpha \) and \( f^{\alpha}(r) \) is the corresponding function associated with an intermediate microstructure. In the case that the set of target functions only includes the standard two-point correlation function, the “energy” formulation of the reconstruction problem has been successfully solved using other schemes such as the gradient-based method [46] and iterative procedures [31]. The simulated annealing method [47] is employed here to solve the aforementioned minimization problem. Specifically, starting from an initial microstructure (i.e., old microstructure) which contains a fixed number of voxels for each phase consistent with the volume fraction of that phase, two randomly selected voxels associated with different phases are exchanged to generate a new microstructure. Relevant correlation functions are sampled from the new microstructure and the associated energy is evaluated, which determines whether the new microstructure should be accepted or not via the probability:

\[
p_{acc}(\text{old} \rightarrow \text{new}) = \min\left\{ 1, \exp\left( \frac{E_{\text{old}} - E_{\text{new}}}{T} \right) \right\}
\]

where \( T \) is a virtual temperature that is chosen to be initially high and slowly decreases according to a cooling schedule [29,30]. The above process is repeated until \( E \) is smaller than a prescribed tolerance, which we choose to be \( 10^{-10} \) here. Generally, several hundred thousand trials need to be made to achieve such a small tolerance. Therefore, efficient sampling methods [36-38] are used that enable one to rapidly obtain the prescribed correlation functions of a new microstructure by updating the corresponding functions associated with the old microstructure, instead of completely re-computing the functions.

### 3.2. Dilation–Erosion Method

For a topologically complex microstructure such as percolating thin filaments in a matrix, it is difficult to accurately capture the key structural features using a limited number of associated correlation functions [48,49]. Instead, we consider a modified microstructure that involves uniformly “dilating” or “eroding” a reference phase in the direction normal to the two-phase interface in the original microstructure [41]. Specifically, the dilation can be achieved by placing a virtual sphere of radius \( \delta \) into the non-reference phase and determining the space available to the sphere as if the reference phase was impenetrable to the virtual sphere [1]. The space unavailable to the sphere is considered to be the dilated reference phase. The erosion process simply reverses this procedure such that the reference phase is allowed to be penetrated by a distance \( \delta \) normal to the two-phase interface. In our implementations, we chose \( \delta = 1 \) pixel and the dilation (erosion) process simply corresponds to converting the pixels of the non-reference (reference) phase bordering the reference (non-reference) phase into the reference (non-reference) phase; see Fig. 3 for illustration. We will elaborate on this selection of \( \delta \) in the discussion section.

To reconstruct a filamentary microstructure from 2D images, a dilation operation is first applied to the original 2D images. This leads to a modified 2D microstructure with thick “stripe-like” structures. The two-point correlation functions associated with the modified 2D microstructure are then computed and used as the input (i.e., target functions) for the Yeong-Torquato reconstruction procedure. As discussed in Section 2.1, although the \( S_2 \)'s are sampled from 2D images, the morphological information contained in such correlation functions is representative of the 3D microstructure. After a 3D reconstruction is obtained, an erosion operation is applied to the 3D microstructure to recover the thin filaments. The degree of dilation (erosion) is determined by the parameter \( \delta \), which is the “thickness” of the dilated region. The choice of this parameter depends on the systems under consideration. A general rule is that the selected \( \delta \) should be large enough to produce a percolated phase in the dilated microstructure such as the two-point correlation function \( S_2 \) of the dilated phase can lead to an accurate reconstruction.

### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Experimental Results

The material studied in this work is a commercially available austenitic-ferritic cast duplex stainless steel (Z3CN20-09 M). The sample used to obtain the microstructures was machined from a centrifugally cast pipe which was heat treated at 1105 ± 10 °C for 4.5 h and then water-quenched. More microstructural information of the material can also be found in Ref. [50].

---

**Fig. 3** – Schematic illustration of the dilation–erosion procedure. The leftmost panel shows an original filamentary microstructure. As shown in the middle panel, the reference (black) phase is uniformly dilated in the direction normal to the two-phase interface. The resulting modified microstructure after the dilation process is complete is shown in the rightmost panel. It can be seen that the dilation process maps the original filamentary structures into percolating stripe-like structures. The erosion process is just the inverse of the dilation procedure, which should lead to a better rendition of the original un-dilated system.
In order to acquire the 3D microstructure of the material, the serial-sectioning method [51] was utilized and the sample was polished using a semi-automatic polisher (MiniMet® 1000, Buehler company, Illinois, U.S.). Initially, the sample was polished with 0.3 μm Al2O3 solution and then, followed by polishing with 0.05 μm colloidal silica solution to minimize the material removal rate and to restore a good surface quality. After each polishing cycle, the microstructure was revealed by etching using a solution of 25 ml HCl, 25 ml HNO3 and 50 ml H2O and the optical micrographs of the microstructure were acquired using an optical microscope (FS100, Mitutoyo, Japan). A removal material thickness of around 1.7 μm for a slice, which was measured to be much smaller than the microstructural phases of interest, was obtained and great effort was made to maintain the same removal rate for each slice. The micrographs were aligned using MATLAB software and then segmented into black and white images using a commercial image analysis software (Image J, Bethesda, MD). Finally, all the 2D segmented images were imported into a 3D visualization software for reconstruction and analysis (Mimics, Materialise NV, Leuven, Belgium).

The experimentally reconstructed 3D microstructure composed of ferrite phase (red) in the austenite matrix (green) is shown in Fig. 4A. The extracted 3D ferrite phase morphology is shown in Fig. 4B, from which the connectivity of the phase can be clearly seen. The volume fraction of the ferrite phase is ϕ = 0.26. We employ the two-point correlation function S2, the lineal-path function L and the two-point cluster function C2 to quantitatively characterize the geometrical and topological features of the ferrite phase. Since only a thin 3D microstructure is available, the correlation functions are only sampled in 2D slices perpendicular to the short direction of the sample. However, the 3D connectedness information is properly taken into account to compute the cluster function C2. Specifically, a pair of points may belong to apparently distinct clusters in the 2D slice, which are actually connected in 3D. Such point pairs will contribute to C2. The computed correlation functions are shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that the 3D C2 is long-ranged, indicating high connectivity of the ferrite phase in three dimensions.

4.2. Three-Dimensional Reconstructions

Three-dimensional reconstructions are obtained using both the standard YT procedure and the dilation–erosion method.

The microstructures obtained from the standard reconstruction using S2 sampled from 2D slices without dilation–erosion, the reconstruction using the combination of S2 and C2 sampled from the actual 3D microstructure, as well as from the dilation–erosion reconstruction using S2 sampled from 2D slices, are respectively shown in Fig. 6A,B, and C. The corresponding reconstructed correlation functions are respectively shown in Fig. 7A,B, and C.

It can be clearly seen from Figs. 6A and 7A that the standard S2-alone reconstruction without dilation/erosion significantly underestimates the linear extent and connectivity of the ferrite filaments, although the reconstructed S2 is virtually indistinguishable from the target S2. This is because in general S2 alone is not sufficient to uniquely determine a microstructure, i.e., there are an enormous number of distinct microstructures that are compatible with a specific S2 [49]. At low volume fractions (i.e., ϕ = 0.26), the number of compatible microstructures containing short filaments and compact clusters is much larger than the number of compatible microstructures with percolating filaments. Due to the stochastic nature of YT procedure, the probability of finding a microstructure with disconnected cluster is thus much higher. On the other hand, the standard reconstruction incorporating both S2 and C2 leads to a significantly

Fig. 4 – Left panel: Experimentally reconstructed microstructure of the cast duplex stainless steel showing the austenite matrix (in green) and ferrite phase (in red) obtained via the serial sectioning technique. Right panel: Extracted 3D ferrite phase morphology.

Fig. 5 – The correlation functions (defined in the text) associated with the percolating filamentary ferrite phase in the stainless steel microstructure. The unit of length is the side length of a pixel and 1 pixel = 3.8 μm.
improved 3D microstructure, which can be seen both visually (see Fig. 6B) and from the comparison of the lineal-path functions associated with the reconstruction and the original microstructure (see Fig. 7B). The improved accuracy is due to the incorporation of additional topological connectedness information contained in the three dimensional $C_2$, which is crucial to capturing the salient features of percolating filamentary ferrite phase.

Fig. 6C shows the 3D microstructure reconstructed from $S_2$ alone using the dilation–erosion method. It can be seen both visually and from the comparison of the associated $C_2$ and $L$ (see Fig. 7C) that the DE reconstruction also significantly improves up on the standard reconstruction using $S_2$ alone. Specifically, the cluster function $C_2$ associated with the DE reconstruction is long-ranged, indicating the high connectivity of the reconstructed filamentary ferrite phase. This is because by dilating the ferrite phase in the target 2D image, the phase volume fraction increases from 0.26 to 0.43, leading to percolating thick stripe-like structures. At $\varphi = 0.43$, the majority of the microstructures compatible with the given $S_2$ are composed of percolating stripe-like structures. Thus, the YI procedure has a high probability to find a microstructure with high connectivity. By applying an erosion operation on the initial reconstruction, the percolating filamentary ferrite phase is then recovered.

We note that although only $S_2$ from 2D slices is used, the 3D reconstruction obtained by the dilation–erosion method is comparable in accuracy to the reconstruction incorporating 3D $C_2$, which contains additional topological connectedness information. This implies that dilating or eroding the reference phase is tantamount to including connectedness information of the phase. However, since the reconstruction using $C_2$ requires one to keep track of the dynamics (e.g., forming and breaking) of the clusters for each intermediate microstructure [36], it is much more computationally intensive than the DE reconstruction, which only incorporates $S_2$; see Table 1 for the comparison of the CPU hours for different reconstructions.

Fig. 7 – Comparison of the target and reconstructed correlation functions associated with the percolating filamentary ferrite phase. (A) Standard reconstruction using $S_2$ obtained from 2D micrographs without dilation/erosion (A), the reconstruction incorporating $S_2$–$C_2$ sampled from 3D experimental obtained material microstructure (B), and the dilation–erosion reconstruction using $S_2$ of the dilated phases obtained from 2D micrographs (C). The unit of length is the side length of a pixel and 1 pixel = 3.8 $\mu$m.
5. Summary and Discussion

We have extended the dilation–erosion method [41] to reconstruct topologically complex 3D microstructures from limited morphological information contained in 2D images. Specifically, we have focused on an austenitic–ferritic cast duplex stainless steel that contains percolating filamentary ferrite phase. The Yeong–Torquato reconstruction procedure was employed to generate 3D microstructures from the two-point correlation function \( S_2 \) sampled from 2D optical micrographs of the sample surface as well as from the two-point cluster function \( C_2 \) obtained from experimentally reconstructed 3D material microstructure. The key points are summarized in the following bulleted list:

- The standard reconstruction based on \( S_2 \) from 2D micrographs without dilation–erosion significantly underestimates the linear extent and connectivity of the ferrite phase, leading to isolated elongated clusters.
- On the other hand, the reconstruction incorporating three dimensional \( C_2 \), and thus additional topological connectedness information, reproduces the salient features of the percolating filamentary ferrite phase very well.
- The dilation–erosion reconstruction incorporating \( S_2 \) obtained from dilated 2D micrographs can lead to 3D microstructures with the level of accuracy comparable to the \( S_2–C_2 \) reconstruction using 3D structural information directly obtained from actual material microstructure. This suggests that dilating or eroding the reference phase is tantamount to including 3D connectedness information of the phase in the reconstruction.
- The computational cost of the DE reconstruction is significantly lower than the reconstruction incorporating the cluster function \( C_2 \).

A key parameter in the dilation–erosion reconstruction method is the dilation thickness \( \delta \) which was chosen to be 1 pixel length in our implementation. As we mentioned in Section 3.2, a general rule to determine the value of \( \delta \) is that the selected value should lead to a percolating phase in the dilated microstructure. Too small \( \delta \) values result in isolated phases which \( S_2 \) is insufficient to accurately characterize. On the other hand, too large \( \delta \) values will produce significantly dilated phases that are difficult to recover during the erosion process. To quantify the effects of \( \delta \) on the accuracy of the reconstruction, we reconstruct the filamentary stainless steel structure using different \( \delta \) values, and compare the “errors” of the reconstructions, which are defined to be the squared difference between the reconstructed and target two-point cluster functions \( C_2 \); see Fig. 8. At \( \delta = 0 \), no dilation/erosion operations are applied during the reconstruction and thus, the procedure corresponds to the standard \( S_2 \) reconstruction, which is associated with an error \( E_0 \). For \( \delta > 0 \), the error \( E \) of the reconstructions is normalized by \( E_0 \). It can be clearly seen that \( \delta = 1 \) is associated with the minimal \( E \) among all \( \delta \) considered. This clearly indicates the optimality of our choice of \( \delta \).

The dilation–erosion method provides a computationally efficient means for modeling and reconstructing realistic 3D microstructure of topological complex materials from limited morphological information for subsequent analysis of their effective properties and performance. This technique is readily applied to characterize and model other complex filamentary structures such as composites and alloys with microcracks. However, for materials composed of compact well-isolated phases such as particle reinforced composites, directly incorporating the cluster function \( C_2 \) into the reconstruction has been shown to be a superior method to accurately characterize and model such structures [36].
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