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Various clustering properties of \(d\)-dimensional overlapping (i.e., Poisson distributed) spheres are investigated. We evaluate \(n_k\), the average number of connected clusters of \(k\) particles (called \(k\)-mers) per unit particle, for \(k = 2, 3, 4\) and \(\nu_k\), the expected volume of a \(k\)-mer, for \(k = 2, 3, 4\) by using our general expressions for these quantities for \(d = 1, 2, \) or \(3\). We use these calculations to obtain low-density expansions of various averaged cluster numbers and volumes, which can be obtained from the \(n_k\) and \(\nu_k\). We study the behavior of these cluster statistics as the percolation threshold is approached from below, and we rigorously show that two of these averaged quantities do not diverge for \(d \geq 2\).

I. INTRODUCTION

A prototypical model of continuum percolation is a system of spatially uncorrelated, equal-sized spheres in one, two, and three dimensions [1–14]. In this model, spheres of equal size are centered on the points of a stationary Poisson process. Since the spheres are allowed to overlap, clusters of various sizes and volumes are formed, as depicted in Fig. 1. This model has been given a variety of names, including “fully penetrable spheres,” “randomly overlapping spheres,” the “Swiss-cheese model,” and the “Poisson blob model.” We shall henceforth refer to this model as overlapping spheres. Certain types of “connectedness” functions and related quantities have been analytically and numerically determined for this model [3–12,15,16].

In this paper we present integral representations of the average number density \(n_k\) of a \(k\)-mer (a cluster comprised of \(k\) spheres) and the average volume \(\nu_k\) of a \(k\)-mer for overlapping spheres in \(d\) dimensions. The \(n_k\) have been used to estimate the percolation threshold and critical exponent of various overlapping particle systems [1], rigorously bound the mean cluster density [11], and study surface tension in percolation models [17]. We use a constructive paradigm to efficiently evaluate these integral expressions for \(n_k\) and \(\nu_k\). To illustrate this paradigm, we derive exact analytical results for the quantities \(p_k\) and \(v_k\) in one dimension. In higher dimensions, however, these quantities are integrals that cannot be evaluated analytically and we have to settle for numerical evaluation. We find that the constructive paradigm yields integrals free of the redundancies inherent in previous work [16] and so these integrals can be numerically evaluated more efficiently. The effort to perform these numerical integrations increases as \(k\) and the number of dimensions increase, and therefore efficient computation of these integrals becomes imperative. With this approach, we are able to compute \(n_k\) and correctly evaluate \(\nu_2\), \(\nu_3\), and \(\nu_4\) for any sphere number density \(\rho\). Our evaluations of these quantities are in excellent agreement with Monte Carlo simulations that we also perform.

We also will study various cluster statistics related to the average number of particles and average volume of the clusters within the system. We will consider \(Q\), the average number of particles contained in a cluster chosen at random, \(S\), the average number of particles in the cluster of a particle chosen at random, \(\nu_Q\), the average volume of a randomly chosen cluster, and \(\nu_S\), the average volume of the cluster containing a randomly chosen particle. The “particle-averaged cluster number” \(S\) and the “particle-averaged cluster volume” \(\nu_S\) both diverge at the percolation threshold (the minimum density at which a cluster of infinite size and volume exists [15,16]). However, the “average cluster number” \(Q\) and “average cluster volume” \(\nu_Q\) remain finite at the percolation threshold for spatial dimension \(d \geq 2\). The cluster statistic \(S\), sometimes called the mean cluster size, is a well-known quantity in percolation theory and has been studied
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FIG. 1. Schematic of fully penetrable disks. There are five monomers, four dimers, two trimers, and a 4-mer.
extensively for overlapping spheres in two and three dimensions [1–6]. Also, \( V_S \) has been approximated by Fant, Glandt, and Chiew [8] for overlapping spheres in three dimensions. To our knowledge, the average cluster number \( Q \) and the average volume volume \( V_Q \) have heretofore not been evaluated. Using our exact low-density expansions of \( n_k \) and \( v_k \), we compute low-density expansions of \( Q \), \( V_Q \), and \( V_S \) for any dimension \( d \).

It is believed that \( S \) and \( V_S \) obey a power law near the percolation threshold. Under this assumption, the low-density expansion of \( S \) has been used to estimate the percolation threshold and critical exponent [1]. Based on our study of Padé approximants of these cluster statistics, we suggest that a similar analysis may also be applicable to \( V_S \).

In Sec. II we define the quantities that will be used throughout this report. In Sec. III we discuss the asymptotic behavior and certain inequalities involving the cluster statistics \( Q \), \( S \), \( V_Q \), and \( V_S \). In Sec. IV we consider the calculations of the expected number of \( k \)-mers in the system; we obtain exact analytical results in one dimension and integral expressions in higher dimensions that require numerical evaluation for arbitrary density \( \rho \). In Sec. V we calculate the expected volume of a \( k \)-mer for any dimension \( d \). Finally, in Sec. VI we discuss how cluster statistics derived from the \( n_k \) and \( v_k \) may be used to estimate the percolation threshold and critical exponents for overlapping disks (\( d = 2 \)) and spheres (\( d = 3 \)).

II. DEFINITION OF CLUSTERING QUANTITIES

We now define the quantities that will be investigated in this article. Our model is a system of overlapping equal-sized spheres, i.e., spheres whose centers are determined by a Poisson process with given rate \( \rho \). The common diameter of the spheres will be denoted by \( \sigma \). The reduced density is defined in terms of the sphere number density \( \rho \) by

\[
\eta = \rho V_1 ,
\]

where

\[
V_1 = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1+d/2)} \left( \frac{\sigma}{2} \right)^d
\]

is the volume of a sphere of diameter \( \sigma \) in \( d \) dimensions. Finally, the volume fraction of the void phase and particle (sphere) phases are respectively given by

\[
\phi_1 = e^{-\eta}, \quad \phi_2 = 1 - \phi_1.
\]

We now define the statistics describing clusters, which will be evaluated in this article. A cluster is defined to be a complete set of particles which are connected, that is, for any two particles in the set, a path can be drawn between their centers that lies entirely within the particles, and no such path can be drawn between any particle center in the set and any particle center outside the set. The probability that a given particle is part of a \( k \)-mer (a cluster containing \( k \) particles) is denoted by \( p_k \), so that

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k = 1.
\]

The probability that a given particle is the leftmost \([18]\) particle of a \( k \)-mer is denoted by \( n_k \). We can also interpret \( n_k \) to be the average number of leftmost spheres of \( k \)-mers per unit number of particles or, equivalently, the average number of \( k \)-mers per unit number of particles. The two quantities \( p_k \) and \( n_k \) are related by

\[
p_k = kn_k .
\]

In this paper, we consider these quantities to be ensemble averages, that is, they are averaged over all realizations of the ensemble.

The average number of \( k \)-mers per unit volume is given by

\[
\rho_k = \rho n_k
\]

and the average number of clusters per unit volume is therefore

\[
\rho_c = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k .
\]

Also, it is clear that

\[
\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \rho_k .
\]

The probability that a randomly chosen cluster is a \( k \)-mer is denoted by \( q_k \), which is given by

\[
q_k = \frac{n_k}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i} .
\]

The average cluster number \( Q \) is the average number of particles in a randomly chosen cluster. Using the above definitions, it is given by

\[
Q = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k q_k = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kn_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k \right)^{-1} .
\]

This quantity also can be related to the number of particles \( N \) and the number of clusters \( M \) for a given realization under the thermodynamic limit:

\[
Q = \lim_{M,N \to \infty} N/M .
\]

We also consider the particle-averaged cluster number \( S \) (also referred to as the mean cluster size), which is the average number of particles in the cluster containing a randomly chosen particle. This cluster property is given by

\[
S = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k p_k = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 n_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kn_k} .
\]
for densities below the percolation threshold. The expected volume of a \( k \)-mer is denoted by \( v_k \) and so the fraction of space occupied by the particles is given by

\[
\phi_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k v_k
\]

below the percolation threshold. We also define the analogs of \( Q \) and \( S \) for the cluster volume

\[
V_Q = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k v_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k}
\]

and

\[
V_S = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k n_k v_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k n_k}
\]

The first cluster statistic \( V_Q \) is the average cluster volume or the average volume of a randomly chosen cluster. On the other hand, \( V_S \) is the particle-averaged cluster volume, defined to be the average volume of the cluster containing a randomly chosen particle.

### III. CRITICAL BEHAVIOR AND INEQUALITIES

It is well known that the particle-averaged cluster number is given in terms of the pair-connectedness function \( P_2(r) \) for any statistically homogeneous system via the relation \[19\]

\[
S = 1 + \rho \int P_2(r) dr.
\]

The quantity \( \rho^2 P_2(r) dr_1 dr_2 \) is the probability of finding any pair of particles of the same cluster in the volume elements \( dr_1 \) and \( dr_2 \) centered on \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \), respectively, where \( r = r_2 - r_1 \). Relation (16) is the connectedness analog of the compressibility equation of equilibrium statistical mechanics. We will directly verify (16) for fully penetrable rods in the Appendix.

Since \( P_2(r) \) becomes long ranged at the percolation threshold \( \phi_2^r \), it follows that \( S \) diverges to infinity as \( \phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_2^r \). Indeed, it is believed that \( S \) obeys the power law

\[
S \sim (\phi_2^\gamma - \phi_2)^{-\gamma}, \quad \phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_2^r
\]

in the immediate vicinity of the percolation threshold. In this expression, \( \gamma \) is a universal exponent for both lattice and continuum percolation dependent on the spatial dimension \( d \). For example, \( \gamma = 43/18 \) for \( d = 2 \) and \( \gamma = 1.8 \) for \( d = 3 \); see Refs. [1,2,20] and references therein.

The definition (10) of the average cluster number \( Q \) weighs clusters of large size less heavily than the definition (12) and so

\[
Q < S = 1 + \rho \int P_2(r) dr.
\]

This relation implies that \( Q \) cannot grow as rapidly as \( S \) at the percolation threshold. In fact, we can show that \( Q \) does not diverge at the percolation threshold for \( d \)-dimensional overlapping spheres when \( d \geq 2 \). This is proved simply by observing that

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k > n_1 = \phi_1^{d^2} > 0
\]

for any \( \phi_2 < 1 \), where we have used (29). Therefore, in view of (10), \( Q \) does not diverge at the percolation threshold unless it is equal to unity, which is true only when \( d = 1 \). Therefore, since \( \phi_2 < 1 \) for \( d \geq 2 \), \( Q \) cannot diverge as \( \phi_2 \) approaches \( \phi_2^r \). Physically, this means that at any density, including above the percolation threshold, the number of clusters \( M \) is proportional to the number of particles \( N \) in the thermodynamic limit for \( d \geq 2 \), given in (11).

The particle-averaged cluster volume \( V_S \) also becomes infinite as the percolation threshold is approached from below, and we assume that it has the asymptotic form

\[
V_S \sim (\phi_2^\gamma - \phi_2)^{-\gamma}, \quad \phi_2 \sim \phi_2^r.
\]

Assuming the validity of power laws (17) and (20), we can relate the critical exponents \( \gamma \) and \( \omega \) to one another, as we now describe. By comparing (12) with (15), we observe that \( V_S \approx SV_1 \) since \( v_k \approx kV_1 \). Therefore, if the critical exponents exist, we conclude that

\[
\omega \leq \gamma.
\]

We also notice the inequality

\[
V_Q \leq V_S,
\]

which is similar to (18) for the cluster numbers. Finally, since \( V_Q \leq QV_1 \), \( V_Q \) remains finite as percolation threshold is approached from below for \( d \geq 2 \). This also has a physical interpretation similar to the above argument that was applied to \( Q \).

In order to study the behavior of \( Q, S, V_Q, \) and \( V_S \), we will obtain low-density expansions of these cluster statistics. To do this, we discuss in Secs. IV and V how the individual \( n_k \) and \( v_k \) can be evaluated.

### IV. PROBABILITY OF FINDING A \( k \)-MER \( n_k \)

In this section we calculate the probability \( n_k \) related to finding a \( k \)-mer in the system. This probability can be computed rather handily in one dimension. We also write a formal integral expression for calculating this probability in two or more dimensions; however, these integrals cannot be calculated analytically and hence require numerical evaluation. This expression is somewhat simplified by a constructive paradigm, viewing the model as an arrival process. These simplifications allow us to numerically evaluate the probabilities more efficiently.
A. Exact results in one dimension

Consider a system of overlapping rods with density \( \rho \) such that each rod has length \( \sigma \). We will often refer to a given rod by the position of its center or midpoint. Then the probability that a given particle is the leftmost particle in a \( k \)-mer is given by

\[
n_k = \phi_1^k - (1 - \phi_1)^{k-1}.
\]  

(23)

To prove this, suppose the center of a given particle is located at \( r_1 \). Then this particle is the leftmost particle of a \( k \)-mer exactly when the region \( [r_1 - \sigma, r_1] \) is empty of centers, the next center after \( r_1 \), denoted by \( r_2 \), occurs somewhere in \( (r_1, r_1 + \sigma) \), the next center after \( r_2 \), denoted by \( r_3 \), occurs somewhere in \( (r_2, r_2 + \sigma) \), \ldots, the next center after \( r_{k-1} \), denoted by \( r_k \), occurs somewhere in \( (r_{k-1}, r_{k-1} + \sigma) \), and, finally, the region \( (r_k, r_k + \sigma) \) is empty of centers. These events are independent since the positions of the centers are generated by a Poisson process. Since this process has density \( \rho \), we conclude that

\[
n_k = e^{-2\rho \sigma} \int_{r_1}^{r_1 + \sigma} dr_2 e^{-\rho (r_2 - r_1)} \int_{r_2}^{r_2 + \sigma} dr_3 \times \int_{r_k}^{r_k + \sigma} dr_k
\]

\[
n_k = e^{-2\rho \sigma} \int_{r_1}^{r_1 + \sigma} dr_2 e^{-\rho (r_2 - r_1)} \int_{r_2}^{r_2 + \sigma} dr_3 \times \int_{r_k}^{r_k + \sigma} dr_k
\]

\[
\times \rho^{k-1} e^{-\rho (r_k - r_1)} = \phi_1^k - (1 - \phi_1)^{k-1}.
\]  

(24)

by induction.

Using Eqs. (5), (6), (9), (10), and (12), we obtain the following cluster statistics for overlapping rods on the line:

\[
p_k = k \phi_1^k - (1 - \phi_1)^{k-1}.
\]  

(25)

\[
p_k = \rho \phi_1^k - (1 - \phi_1)^{k-1}.
\]  

(26)

\[
q_k = \phi_1 (1 - \phi_1)^{k-1}.
\]  

(27)

\[
Q = S = 1/\phi_1.
\]  

(28)

Comparing Eq. (28) with (17), we see that \( \phi_2 = \gamma = 1 \). We also see that \( Q \) diverges at the percolation threshold; this is consistent with (10) since \( n_k \) diverges at \( \phi_1 = 0 \).

B. Extension to higher dimensions

We have illustrated the constructive paradigm by calculating \( n_k \) for overlapping rods. We now extend this paradigm to calculate \( n_k \) in higher dimensions. The expressions we obtain cannot be evaluated analytically, so we will use numerical means to evaluate them.

In \( d \) dimensions, a given sphere is a monomer exactly when the sphere of radius \( \sigma \) centered at the given sphere contains no other sphere centers, so

\[
p_1 = n_1 = \exp[-2^d \rho V_1] = \phi_1^{2^d}.
\]  

(29)

For \( k \geq 2 \), Given et al. [11] claimed and Penrose [16] later rigorously proved, in any dimension, that

\[
p_k = \sum_{1 \leq k_0 < \cdots < k_i \leq k} p_k(k_0, \ldots, k_i),
\]  

(31)

where

\[
p_k(k_0, \ldots, k_i) = c(k_0, \ldots, k_i) \int_{B_{k_0}} dr_{12} \cdots dr_{1k_i} \int_{C(k_0, k_i)} dr_{1(k_1+1)} \cdots dr_{1k_i} \int \cdots \int
\]

\[
\times \exp[-\rho V_k(r^k; \sigma)].
\]  

(32)
and

\[ c(k_0, \ldots, k_i) = \frac{\rho^{k_i}}{(k_i - k_0)! \cdots (k_i - k_1 - 1)!}. \]  

(33)

In this expression \( k_0 = 1, k_i = k, \)

\[ B_i = B_\rho(r_i), \]  

(34)

the sphere with radius \( \rho \) centered at \( r_i \), and

\[ C(k, l) = (B_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup B_l) \setminus (B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_k). \]  

(35)

We present the proof of (31) elsewhere [22], but we will illustrate the proof by considering \( p_3 \). There are two ways that a cluster containing a particle centered at \( r_1 \) could exist. The two centers \( r_2 \) and \( r_3 \) could both lie in \( B_1 \), or only one center \( r_2 \) in \( B_1 \) and one more center in \( B_2 \setminus B_1 \). To ensure that the cluster has only three particles, the region \( V_3(r_i; \sigma) \) must be otherwise empty for both cases. We conclude that

\[ p_3 = \frac{\rho^2}{2!} \int_{B_1} dr_{12} \int_{B_1} dr_{13} \exp[-\rho V_3(r^3; \sigma)] \]

\[ + \rho^2 \int_{B_1} dr_{12} \int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} dr_{13} \exp[-\rho V_3(r^3; \sigma)]. \]  

(36)

Similarly, we find that \( p_4 \) is given by

\[ p_4 = \frac{\rho^3}{3!} \int_{B_1} dr_{12} \int_{B_1} dr_{13} \int_{B_1} dr_{14} \exp[-\rho V_4(r^4; \sigma)] \]

\[ + \frac{\rho^3}{2!} \int_{B_1} dr_{12} \int_{B_1} dr_{13} \int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} dr_{14} \exp[-\rho V_4(r^4; \sigma)] \]

\[ + \frac{\rho^3}{2!} \int_{B_1} dr_{12} \int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} dr_{13} \int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} dr_{14} \exp[-\rho V_4(r^4; \sigma)] \]

\[ + \frac{\rho^3}{2!} \int_{B_1} dr_{12} \int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} dr_{13} \int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} dr_{14} \exp[-\rho V_4(r^4; \sigma)]. \]  

(37)

With sufficient patience one can obtain similar expressions in terms of these subintegrals for the higher \( p_k \). The inherent difficulty with this procedure is parametrizing the domains of integration. Once this is accomplished, however, this expression eliminates all redundancies and hence lends itself to more efficient numerical integration.

### 1. Two-dimensional results

The integrals determining \( n_k \) require knowledge of \( V_k(r^k; \sigma) \), the union area of \( k \) circles of equal radius. To calculate \( V_k \), it is sufficient to obtain expressions for the intersection of \( j \) circles for \( j \leq k \). Kraty [23] showed that the area of intersection of four or more circles can be reduced to a linear combination of the areas of intersection of two and three circles. Using this result, we can evaluate the integrands of the above integrals exactly and then use numerical integration to finally obtain the \( n_k \).

Figure 2 shows theoretical predictions of \( n_2, n_3, \) and \( n_4 \) and direct Monte Carlo simulation of these quantities. As we see, simulation and theory are in excellent agreement.

Using heuristic reasoning, Roach suggested that \( n_k \) can be approximated by

\[ n_k \approx \frac{p_1(1 - p_1)^{k-1}}{k}. \]  

(38)

where \( p_1 \) is given in (29). This formula indeed provides a good approximation to \( n_2, n_3, \) and \( n_4 \) for small to medium values of \( \phi_2 \), but does not estimate \( n_1 \) well for large \( \phi_2 \).

### 2. Three-dimensional results

Kratky [24] also stated that the intersection volumes of five or more spheres of equal radius can be expressed as a linear combination of intersection volumes of two, three, and four spheres. The volume of the intersection of three spheres [25–28] and four spheres [29] are known analytically. Using these results, we can again evaluate the integrands of the above integrals analytically and then numerically obtain the \( n_k \). A graph comparing the theoretical predictions of \( n_2, n_3, \) and \( n_4 \) in three dimensions to computer simulations is shown in Fig. 3.
Roach’s heuristic argument can also be applied to this three-dimensional model and so (38) can be used as an approximation of $n_k$. This turns out to be an excellent approximation of $n_2$, $n_3$, and $n_4$ for $\phi_2<0.05$; however, its accuracy diminishes as $\phi_2$ increases above this value.

V. AVERAGE VOLUME OF A $k$-MER $v_k$

We now consider the average volume of a given $k$-mer. In any dimension, the expected union volume of a $k$-mer can be expressed in terms of a conditional expectation on the positions of its $k$ constituent spheres. After giving the general expression for any dimension, we directly calculate the average volume of a $k$-mer $v_k$ in one dimension. We then numerically evaluate the lower $v_k$ in two and three dimensions.

The average volume of a $k$-mer is the conditional expectation of the volume of $k$ spheres, given that the $k$ spheres indeed form a cluster. From (30), given that $k$ particles form a $k$-mer, the conditional probability density function of the locations of the $k$ particles is given by

\[
 f(r_{12}, \ldots, r_{1k}) = \frac{\rho^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}\exp[-\rho V_k(r^k; \sigma)]I(r^k; \sigma/2) \int dx_{12} \int dx_{13} \cdots \int dx_{1k} \exp[-\rho V_k(x^k; \sigma)]I(x^k; \sigma/2)
\]

Since

\[
 v_k = \int dr_{12} \cdots \int dr_{1k} V_k(r^k; \sigma/2)f(r_{12}, \ldots, r_{1k}),
\]

from the definition of conditional expectation, we conclude that

\[
 v_k = \int dr_{12} \cdots \int dr_{1k} V_k(r^k; \sigma/2)\exp[-\rho V_k(r^k; \sigma)]I(r^k; \sigma/2) \int dr_{12} \cdots dr_{1k} \exp[-\rho V_k(r^k; \sigma)]I(r^k; \sigma/2).
\]

This is somewhat different from the expression described by Given et al. [11], which suppresses the indicator functions and ignores the conditional expectation and hence the denominator.

We now directly calculate $v_k$ in one dimension by using the arrival process paradigm described in Sec. IV. We find that

\[
 v_k = \frac{\int r_1 + \sigma \, dr_2 \int r_2 + \sigma \, dr_3 \cdots \int r_{k-1} + \sigma \, dr_k (\sigma + r_k - r_1)e^{-\rho(2\sigma + r_k - r_1)}}{\int r_1 + \sigma \, dr_2 \int r_2 + \sigma \, dr_3 \cdots \int r_{k-1} + \sigma \, dr_k e^{-\rho(2\sigma + r_k - r_1)}} = \sigma \left( \frac{k \phi_1 - 1}{\phi_1 - 1} + \frac{k - 1}{\eta} \right).
\]

As expected, this expression is consistent with the known cumulative distribution function of the length of a $k$-mer in systems of overlapping rods [21].

As the density $\rho$ tends to zero, the expected length of a one-dimensional $k$-mer tends to $\sigma(k+1)/2$. This may be surprising at first glance; after all, at very low densities, $k$-mers will not exist for $k \geq 2$. However, we are not now calculating the probability that $k$-mers exist; instead, we are calculating the expectation of the volume of a $k$-mer given that the $k$-mer exists.

In higher dimensions, we again must settle for numerical evaluation of the $v_k$. To do so, we use the same decomposition scheme underlying (31) of Sec. IV to break both the numerator and denominator of (41) into subcases. These subexpressions are then integrated numerically to obtain the $v_k$.

In Figs. 4 and 5, we plot the lower $v_k$ in two and three dimensions, respectively. As we see, the $v_k$ decrease to $V_1$ as the inclusion (cluster) volume fraction $\phi_2$ increases to 1. This is not surprising; as the volume fraction of the spheres increases, we would expect the separation distances of a $k$-mer to decrease.

From the graphs of the $v_k$ for $k \leq 4$ and computer simulations for larger $k$, a decent empirical approximation for $v_k$ at small volume fractions appears to be

\[
 v_k(0) \approx \frac{1 + k(2^d - 1)}{2^{d+1}V_1},
\]

where $d$ is the number of dimensions. However, this does not provide a rigorous upper bound on the $v_k$. A precise determination of $v_k$ and its derivative at $\eta = 0$ should provide an excellent upper bound and approximation of $v_k$ for even intermediate values of $\eta$. 
VI. LOW-DENSITY EXPANSIONS OF CLUSTER STATISTICS

In the previous sections, we have considered a procedure to numerically evaluate the \( n_k \) and \( v_k \) in any dimension \( d \). We will now use this procedure to obtain low-density expansions of the cluster statistics \( Q, S, V_Q, \) and \( V_S \), which were defined in Sec. II. Our approach is similar to that of Haan and Zwanzig [1], who obtained the low-density expansions of the \( n_k \) from the virial theory of the equation of state of a hard-sphere fluid and then obtained the low-density expansions of \( 1/Q \) and \( S \). Such low-density expansions are important since they are exact to an accuracy of the above numerical integrations and hence can be used as a benchmark for approximate approaches.

In one dimension, \( Q \) and \( S \) were given by (28). Using (24) and (42), we also obtain

\[
V_Q = \frac{\phi_2 \varphi}{\phi_1 \eta} = -\frac{\phi_2 \varphi}{\phi_1 \ln(1 - \phi_2)} \tag{44}
\]

and

\[
V_S = \frac{2 \phi_2 \varphi}{\phi_1 \eta} - \sigma = -\frac{2 \phi_2 \varphi}{\phi_1 \ln(1 - \phi_2)} - \sigma. \tag{45}
\]

Notice that \( V_Q \) diverges at the percolation threshold for this one-dimensional system; this is consistent with the discussion of Sec. III. Also, there is a logarithmic contribution in the denominator of \( V_S \). Therefore, in one dimension, \( V_S \) does not obey a power law as \( \phi_2 \) approaches the percolation threshold. The presence of \( \eta \) in the denominator, however, would not preclude power-law behavior in higher dimensions, since \( \eta' < \infty \) for \( d \geq 2 \).

Haan and Zwanzig [1] used the coefficients in the low-density expansion of \( S \) to estimate the percolation threshold and critical exponent for \( S \). They did this by using (12) and by obtaining the low-density expansions of the \( n_k \) from the virial theory of the equation of state of a hard-sphere fluid. In principle, the same analysis could be performed, using the above integral expressions, on the particle-averaged cluster volume \( V_S \). This would be done by using the Taylor-series expansion

\[
n_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \frac{\partial^{k-1+j}}{k! j!} \int dr_{12} \int dr_{13} \cdots \int dr_{1k} \times [V_k(r^3; \sigma)]^{j/3(r^3; \sigma/2)}. \tag{46}
\]

The integrals of (46) can be decomposed in a fashion similar to (31) and then numerically evaluated. Similarly, the expansions of the \( n_k v_k \) can be obtained from the numerator of (41). The evaluation of each coefficient will require approximately the same computational effort as the computation of \( n_k \) itself.

Finally, these coefficients can be used to obtain the low-density expansions of the cluster statistics \( Q, S, V_Q, \) and \( V_S \). We present these expansions in Table I for \( d = 2 \) and in Table II for \( d = 3 \). As expected, our expansions for the \( n_k \) are in agreement with the expansions obtained by Haan and Zwanzig [1].

![FIG. 4. Expected area of a k-mer in units of \( V_1 \) in two dimensions. The solid dots are simulation data.](image)

![FIG. 5. Expected volume of a k-mer in units of \( V_1 \) in three dimensions. The solid dots are simulation data.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n_k )</th>
<th>( v_k )</th>
<th>( Q )</th>
<th>( S )</th>
<th>( V_Q )</th>
<th>( V_S )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>3.268</td>
<td>1.5071</td>
<td>2.5071</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.027</td>
<td>2.5071</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.846</td>
<td>3.846</td>
<td>3.846</td>
<td>3.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.665</td>
<td>4.665</td>
<td>4.665</td>
<td>4.665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Coefficients in the expansions of \( n_k, v_k, Q, S, V_Q, \) and \( V_S \) for fully penetrable disks. Recall that \( n_1 = e^{-4/d} \) from (29), and that \( v_1 = V_1 \) trivially. The series expansions for \( v_k, V_Q, \) and \( V_S \) are expressed in units of \( V_1 \), the area of a single disk. The expansions for \( Q, S, V_Q, \) and \( V_S \) are derived from (10), (12), (14), and (15), respectively.
TABLE II. Same as in Table I, except for fully penetrable spheres. For this system, \( n_i = e^{-\kappa y} \) from (29).  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \eta^0 )</th>
<th>( \eta^1 )</th>
<th>( \eta^2 )</th>
<th>( \eta^3 )</th>
<th>( \eta^4 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( n_2 )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-49</td>
<td>302.2238</td>
<td>-1250.5030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_3 )</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-359.4203</td>
<td>2959.1209</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_4 )</td>
<td>139.7867</td>
<td>-2842.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_5 )</td>
<td>964.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taking the Padé approximants [30] in \( \eta \) for these low-density expansions, we can obtain estimates of the percolation thresholds of overlapping disks and spheres, which are roughly 0.68 and 0.30, respectively, from computer simulations. We show the singularities of the [0.1], [1.1], and [2,1] approximants of \( Q, S, V_Q \), and \( V_S \) in Table III for \( d = 2 \) and in Table IV for \( d = 3 \). We notice that the singularities of \( Q \) and \( V_Q \) occur above the percolation threshold in three dimensions. This is not surprising since \( Q \) and \( V_Q \) do not diverge at the percolation threshold, as discussed in Sec. III. We also notice that the estimates of \( \eta^\prime \) from \( V_S \) are somewhat larger than the estimates from \( S \), as expected, since \( V_S < SV_1 \), which was also discussed in Sec. III.

Fanti, Glandt, and Chiew [8] obtained a series expansion for \( V_S \) for three-dimensional overlapping spheres in terms of the one-point–one-particle connectedness function. They then evaluated \( V_S \) to account for binary overlaps under the superposition approximation. They were not, however, concerned with the individual \( n_k \) and \( v_k \). Their expression is

\[
V_S = \frac{V_1 S \phi_2}{\eta}. \tag{47}
\]

If we generalize this expression to \( d \) dimensions, we obtain

\[
V_S = \frac{S \phi_2}{\eta} \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1+d/2)} \left( \frac{\sigma}{2} \right)^d \tag{48}
\]

after using (2). We see from (28) and (45) that this expression, generalized to one dimension, is off by a factor of 2.

TABLE III. Roots of Padé approximants of \( Q, S, V_Q \), and \( V_S \) for fully penetrable disks. For \( S \) and \( V_S \), this provides an estimate on the reduced density percolation threshold \( \eta^\prime \). The approximate correct value is \( \eta^\prime = 1.13 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[0,1]</th>
<th>[1,1]</th>
<th>[2,1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( Q )</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S )</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_Q )</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_S )</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IV. Same as in Table III, except for fully penetrable spheres. The approximate reduced density percolation threshold is \( \eta^\prime = 0.35 \). The roots of the [2,1] approximants of \( Q \) and \( V_Q \) are greater than \( \eta^\prime \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[0,1]</th>
<th>[1,1]</th>
<th>[2,1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( Q )</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S )</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_Q )</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_S )</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To our knowledge, the asymptotic behavior of \( V_S \) near the percolation threshold has not been studied quantitatively with high precision. It would be useful in future studies to determine if \( V_S \) shares the same critical exponent as \( S \) (that is, if \( \omega = \gamma \)).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Grant No. DE-FG02-92ER14275 and by the MRSEC Program of the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-9400362. J.Q. acknowledges the National Science Foundation for financial assistance.

APPENDIX: COMPRESSIBILITY EQUATION FOR FULLY PENETRABLE RODS

In (16) we stated the compressibility equation, which in dimensionless units can be stated as

\[
\hat{S} = 1 + \eta \int_0^\infty P_2(z) dz, \tag{A1}
\]

where \( P_2 \) is the pair-connectedness function and \( z = r/\sigma \) is dimensionless distance. We now directly verify this result. For fully penetrable rods, \( P_2 \) is simply

\[
P_2(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z < 1 \\ C_2(z-1), & z \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{A2}
\]

where \( C_2 \) is the two-point cluster function [7]. For fully penetrable rods, this function is given by [12,31]

\[
C_2(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \phi_1^k \times \left[ \frac{n(z-k+1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} + \frac{n(z-k+1)^k}{k!} \right], \tag{A3}
\]

where \( m \) is the positive integer that satisfies \( m-1 \leq x < m \). We recall that \( \phi_1 = e^{-\kappa y} \) for fully penetrable rods. We also note that the \( n \)-point connectedness function for this system can be expressed as

\[
P_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} P_2(x_{i+1} - x_i), \tag{A4}
\]
where \( x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n \).

Direct integration of \( P_2 \) shows that

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} P_2(z) \, dz = \frac{\phi_2}{\phi_1 \eta} \quad (A5)
\]

and so we obtain (49) upon comparison with (28). To obtain this integral, we have used the identity

\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\eta)} = e\eta + \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k \eta^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \left[(n-k+1)^{k+1} e^{-k\eta} \right] - (n-k)^{k+1} e^{-(k+1)\eta}
\]

for \( \eta > 0 \). This identity can be derived using the method of subtracted singularities [32,33] and the generating function

\[
f(z) = \frac{\phi_1 \exp(-z \eta \phi_1) - \exp(-2z \eta \phi_1)}{\eta \phi_1 [1 - \exp(-z \eta \phi_1)]} + \frac{1 - \phi_1}{\eta \phi_1 (1 - z)}. \quad (A7)
\]

We also notice that we have directly verified that the integral of \( C_2 \) diverges at the percolation threshold, as expected [7].

[1] S. W. Haan and R. Zwanzig, J. Phys. A 10, 1547 (1977). The \( \rho \) in the two-dimensional results of Table 1 of this reference corresponds with our 2 \( \eta \), while their \( \rho \) corresponds to our 4 \( \eta \) in the three-dimensional results. There appears to be a typographical error in this table; the coefficient of \( \rho^4 \) for the \( n_3 \) of circles should be 8.1055 instead of 8.11055.


[18] The important concept is specifying some means of choosing a certain particle of any \( k \)-mer. We take the particle with least \( x \) coordinate mostly out of expositional convenience.


